## Treatment of Oropharyngeal Dysphagia by Lowering Nadir Pressure of the Upper Esophageal Sphincter

Eu Jeong Ko<sup>1\*</sup>, Hyeon Su Kim<sup>2</sup>, Yoon Ghil Park<sup>1†</sup>

Gangnam Severance Hospital, Rehabilitation Institute of Neuromuscular Disease, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine<sup>1</sup>, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine<sup>2</sup>

## Objective

A cricopharyngeal muscle dysfunction (CPD) has been mainly treated by pneumatic dilatation, cricopharyngeal myotomy or botulium toxin A(BTA) injection. The manometry of upper esophageal sphincter has been used as a complementary measure for videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) as diagnostic assessment tools for dysphagia. Previous studies focused on the resting(basal) pressure of upper esophageal sphincter in manometry. However, the correlation between the declining resting pressure and improved dysphagia still remains unclear. Only a few number of reports suggest the nadir(residual) pressure as a possible determinant of esophageal clearance. We reported four cases in which the nadir pressure were decreased or increased after BTA injections or pneumatic dilatations, trying to evaluate the value of a nadir pressure as an outcome predictor of dysphagia treatment.

## **Cases**

1) Patients 4 male patients ranging 52 to 69 years old, with each diagnosis of schwannoma(Number 1), nasopharyngeal cancer(Number 2), hemangioblastoma(Number 3), and ruptured Rt. frontal arteriovenous malformation(Number 4) were included in this study. The patient with nasopharyngeal cancer received radiotherapy and chemotherapy, but other three patients had operations. Dysphagia duration was ranged from 1 month to 2 years. 2) Methods Manometry, VFSS and diet parameters were evaluated at the time of pre- and posttreatments of CPD, respectively. Manometry results included basal and nadir pressures, relaxation time to nadir, and relaxation duration, etc. Resting pressure is calculated as the mean value of the pressure obtained after at least 10 seconds at resting position. Nadir pressure is defined as the lowest residual pressure during the state of swallow-induced relaxation. 3) Results There were no significant changes in basal pressures of the manometry between pre and post treatments in all patients. The nadir pressure of 2 patients (number 1 and 4) were decreased, and they consequently resulted in the improvement of swallowing function. One patient (number 2) showed increased nadir pressure after treatments, resulting in no improvement of dysphagia. A relaxation time to nadir and relaxation duration were also increased in the effective groups of number 1 and 4, but decreased in the ineffective group of number 2. The radiation induced fibrosis of pharynx was considered as a cause of poor treatment outcome of the number 2 patient. The patient with hemangioblastoma (number 3) was not evaluated with

manometry after treatments, but he already had showed the lowest nadir pressure before starting treatments, and dysphagia was improved fast up to normal.

## Conclusion

This study suggests that nadir pressure could be meaningfully valuable as a pre-treatment outcome predictor of interventions, and also as a post-treatment outcome predictor, although case studies clearly verifying this argument is currently lacking. Further large case studies will be needed.

Table 1. Demographics and clinical characteristics of the study population

| Patient Age Gen |    | Gender | Diagnosis             | Management | MMSE | Function | Days from<br>dysphagia onset | Dysphagia<br>therapy | Botox<br>injection | Balloon<br>dilatatio<br>n |  |
|-----------------|----|--------|-----------------------|------------|------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 1               | 69 | Male   | Schwannoma            | Operation  | 28   | ID gait  | 66 days                      | О                    | 1                  | X                         |  |
| 2               | 52 | Male   | Nasopharyngeal cancer | CTx, RTx   | 30   | ID gait  | 2 years                      | O                    | 2                  | 2                         |  |
| 3               | 59 | Male   | Hemangioblastoma      | Operation  | 23   | ID gait  | 30 days                      | O                    | 2                  | X                         |  |
| 4               | 53 | Male   | Rt. Frontal AVM       | Operation  | 30   | ID gait  | 310 days                     | O                    | 0                  | 3                         |  |

CTx, chemotherapy; RTx, radiotherapy

Table 2. Changes in manometry, VFSS, Diets between pre- and post-treatment

| Patien<br>t no. | Manometry                   |      |                          |      |                                     |              |                             |      | VFSS      |      |                 |      |      |      |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------|-----------|------|-----------------|------|------|------|
|                 | Basal<br>Pressure<br>(mmHg) |      | Nadir Pressure<br>(mmHg) |      | Relexation<br>time to nadir<br>(ms) |              | Relexation<br>duration (ms) |      | CPD grade |      | Aspiration type |      | Diet |      |
|                 | pre                         | post | pre                      | post | pre                                 | post         | pre                         | post | pre       | post | pre             | post | pre  | post |
| 1               | 7.9                         | 8.4  | 5.9                      | 0.8  | 13                                  | 184          | 33                          | 385  | 3         | 2    | D1              | LL   | D1   | SD   |
| 2               | 15.1                        | 15.3 | 0.9                      | 5.3  | 293                                 | 108          | 472                         | 215  | 4         | 4    | SL              | D1   | Tube | Tube |
| 3               | 12.5                        | -    | -0.9                     | -    | 102                                 | ( <b>*</b> ) | 793                         | -    | 4         | 1    | D1              | X    | Tube | GD   |
| 4               | 14.3                        | 13.7 | 10.3                     | 1.4  | 243                                 | 527          | 539                         | 907  | 2         | 2    | D1              | SL   | D1   | D3   |

VFSS, Videofluoroscopic Swallowing Study; CPD, cricopharyngeal dysfunction; D1, dysphagia diet 1; D3, dysphagia diet 3; SL, small liquid; LL, large liquid; SD, soft diet; GD, general diet; Tube, tube feeding;