## P 1-59

# Rapid recovery in Miller-Fisher syndrome in a child with poor prognostic factors: A Case report

Sehee Kim<sup>1\*</sup>, Joon-Sung Kim<sup>1</sup>, Seong Hoon Lim<sup>1</sup>, Bomi Sul<sup>1</sup>, Bo Young Hong<sup>1†</sup>

St. Vincent's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine<sup>1</sup>

#### Introduction

Guillain Barre Syndrome(GBS) is known to be a syndrome with several variant forms. Of those variant, Miller Fisher Syndrome(MFS) is not common in children and characterized by double vision, loss of balance and deep tendon reflexes. We present a child who had MFS with abrupt onset of profound weakness with multiple conduction blocks with good prognosis.

#### Case report

A 6-year-old male patient came to the emergency room. He complained of abrupt gait disturbance and left side weakness, difficulty in left lateral gaze, intermittent dysarthria and presented diplopia. Initial chest X-ray showed pneumonia and two days later, high

fever up to 39.9°Cwas checked. Intravenous immunoglobulin therapy started, but his symptoms gradually worsened and five days later, the patient became tetraplegia and fell into respiratory failure, so ventilator care was started. Steroid pulse therapy was started just before the EMG, that is, 8 days after the onset. Muscle strength was generally trace grade according to the manual muscle test. Deep tendon reflex of upper limb and bilateral knee jerk were hypoactive, and ankle jerk was absent. Nerve conduction study (NCS) and electromyographic (EMG) examination were performed 9 days after symptom onset. Sensory NCS showed decreased amplitudes of sensory nerve action potential (SNAP) in bilateral sural and right ulnar nerves. Motor NCS presented drop in amplitudes of compound muscle action potential (CMAP) in right median, peroneal and bilateral tibial nerves, delayed conduction velocities in right median and bilateral tibial nerves(Table 1). Needle EMG and facial ENoG showed no definite abnormality. And there was no response of F wave in all sampled nerves. Above electrodiagnostic findings are compatible with inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy(AIDP). Comprehensive rehabilitation therapy including gait training, fine motor training and balance training was continued. After a month, the patient was able to walk independently. Still, mild impairment of balance and fine motor in upper extremities was remained, but he participated nearly all activities of daily living including running.

### Conclusion

Early diagnosis of AIDP is crucial because it sometimes is life-threatening, but several treatments could lessen the disease severity and improve outcome. Generally, the prognosis of AIDP in children is better than adults. However, outcomes may be less favorable those with some risk factors. Such as child younger than 2 years, limb paralysis within 10 days, very weak at presentation, unevoked motor nerves on NCS, the

involvement of cranial nerves, and requiring ventilator support. In this case, despite the patient presented several risk factors for poor prognosis, the patient gradually recovered satisfactorily over a few weeks. This recovery was probably due to early diagnosis and rapid treatment.

	Sponta neous					MUAP			Recruitment
Muscle	IA FID		PSVV	Fasc	CRD	Amp	Dur	PPP	Pattern
R. Biceps brachii	N	None	None	None	None	N	N	N	N
R. Vastus medialis	N	None	None	None	None	N	N	N	N
R. Gastrocnemius (medial)	N	None	None	None	None	N	N	N	N
Nerve	F min (ms) No Response								
R Median - APB	No Re	esponse							
R Median - APB R Median - APB	No Re No Re	esponse							

table1. The results of needle EMG and F-wave studies



Magnetic resonance imaging of thoracic and lumbar spine. A. Normal conus medullaris at D12-L1 was observed B. Diffuse enhancement of cauda equine was present and definite nodularity or enlargement was not observed.

Sensory NCS	18	52		- 83	5	5	1 8
Nerve / Sites	/ Sites Onset Lat ms		Peak Lat		PkAmp	Distance	Velocity
an a cara - Madwardt			ms		μV	mm	m/s
R Median - Digit III	111	00				91. 1992-100	e liewers s
Palm		1.20	1.8	2	17.5	70	58
R Ulnar - Digit V	12	23		- 3		0	
Wrist		1.51	2.2	29	11.1		
R Sural - Ankle (Calf)	- Si	- Caralle	2640	. Å	1		
Calf		1.82	2.7	1	7.5	90	49
L Sural - Ankle (Calf)	1921						
Calf	18 B	1.72	2.6	10	5.6	90	50
R Superficial peroneal – A nk	le			1			
Lat leg	24	1.77	2.7	1	9.5	90	48
L Superficial peroneal – A nk	le	- 1999 B	14039	112	1 - Mil		1 73
Lat leg		2.08	3.0	2	9.4	90	43
MotorNCS							
Nerve / Sites	Latency An		plitude l mV		ouration ms	Distance	Velocity m/s
R Median - A PB	to soldie de		5	_		14009722 00	
Wrist	2.19		6.6		4,90		
Elbow	6.67		1.4	_	9,48	155	35
R Ulnar - A DM							
Wrist	1.93		5.9		5.68		š
B.Elbow	4.43		4.0	_	5.73	125	50
R Peroneal - EDB							
Ankle	5.05		1.7		10.83	aves	
Fib head	8.18		0.9		14.90	190	61
L Peroneal - EDB				_			
Ankle	4.22		2.8		8,13	70	8
Fib head	8,13		2.7		9.06	200	51
R Tibial - AH	Steene K			_	Second Street		
Ankle	3.02		11.1		8.44	80	
Pop fossa	24.11		0.1		2.81	250	12
L Tibial - AH	2		201100				193
Ankle	3.44		10.2		8.28	80	
Pop fossa	12.78		0.3		6.56	250	27
ENoG		1000-0			1		
Nerve / Sites		On	iset Lat (ms)	1	Pk Amp (mV	) Duration(m	s) Area(mVms)
R Facial - Fonralis, Orb Oculi	Nasalis,Orb O	nis					
Frontalis	Si Oler		5.6	8	(	0.4 8.6	1.6
Oculli			2.66		(	).7 7.0	2.6
Nasalis			3.33		(	).5 7.4	40 2.0
Oris		2	3.4	4	(	.8 19.9	5.8
L Facial - Fonralis, Orb Oculi,	Nasalis,Orb O	nis					
Frontalis		3	5.6	3	(	).5 15.6	3 2.9
Oculli			2.6	8	(	.9 7.0	2.6
Nasalis		- 22	3.2	3		.9 8.0	07 2.9
Oris		9	3.7	0	(	0.6 12.5	5 3.5

The results of sensory, motor and facial nerve conduction studies