

Developing evaluation items for doctors in prescribing rehabilitation sports for persons with disabilities

: Focusing on physical, hearing, visual disabilities and brain lesions

Yoon Park, Minyoung Lee, SeonDeok Eun, SeungHee Ho*

National Rehabilitation Research Institute, Seoul, South Korea

Purpose

· This study is to select an evaluation items which necessary for doctors to write a prescription(doctor's note) to determine whether and to what extent a person with disabilities can perform rehabilitation sports(RS).

Evaluation purpose

- 1. Assessment of current health status for RS participants
- 2. Risk assessment and classification
- 3. Identification of health status changes pre and post exercise and suggestions for future plans

Evaluation target

Persons with disabilities and those who are expected to become disabled within a certain period because it is difficult for them to fully recover from an injury or disease (by Act on guarantee of right to health and access to medical serv disabilities article 15)

Subjects

According to the status of registered disabilities by the Mini stry of Health and Welfare, the top four types of disabilit

Physical disabilities (45.8%) > Hearing disabilities (15%) > Visual dis abilities (9.6%)> Brain lesions (9.5%)

Assessor Doctors

Methods

Delphi survey

- · A Delphi Survey is a series of questionnaires that allow experts to develop ideas about potential future developments around an issue
- A panel completed 3 rounds of Delphi survey (Item Content Validity Index, I-CVI values of 0.7 or less were selected as risky items for deletion)
- · Panel were composed of 29 doctors with 7 different specialist (physiatrist, cardiologist, endocrinologist. otolaryngologist(audiology), oculist, psychiatrist, etc)

Advisory meeting: Focus Group Interview, FGI

- The FGI was conducted using in-depth group interviews with a sample of doctors for each specialty.
- For FGI, 29 doctors were divided into 5 groups, and eac h group consisted of 4-8 experts.



Results

- Common evaluation items and evaluation items for each type of disability are divided
- Common evaluation items are divided into 5 SECTIONS
 - 1. Health risk factors 2. Cardiovascular & musculoskeletal risk factors
 - 3.Major Abnormalities and signs 4.Medication history 5.Fall risk

The developed evaluation tool is divided for doctors and participants

Classification of evaluation sections

For common evaluation items For each type of disability



[For common evaluation items]

P

1.

·Health risk factors der and age, Physical activity, Anamnesis, Body Mass ix, Health behavior knowledge

 Cardiovascular and musculoskeletal risk factors -Hypertension, Diabetes mellitus, Arrhythmia, Coronary arter y disease, Heart failure, Osteoporosis •Major Abnormalities & Signs

-Cyanosis, Level of dyspnea & chest pain, Heart throbbing, Dizziness, Unregulated Hypertension, Hypertonia, Hypoglyc emia, Decubitus, Range of motion Medication history -Beta blockers or alpha blockers, Hypertension medicine(ex cept beta blockers) Diabetes mellitus, Inhalant, Antiplatelet a

gent, Anticoagulant

History of falls within 6 months, Sense of balance, Gait dist urbance, Visual impairment: double vision & low vision, Hist ory of fainting

[For each type of disability]



·Amputation of upper and lower extremities ·Joint disorder of lower extremities Functional impairment of Upper & lower extremities Spinal disorder

·Hearing aid

•Deformity-related disabilities



- Worn / not worn ·Cochlear implant Worn / not worn

•Degree of hearing loss e to hear at a dist

e of 40cm or more, unable to hear loud sounds in the ea Communication disorder



 Visual acuity - Best corrected visual acui •Narrowed visual field

•Impaired balance function

 Presence of diplopia - within 20 degrees of fixation/ in the periphery

- time to stand on one foot with eyes closed



· Joint Range of Motion for affected side upper & lower limb - shoulder, elbow and forearm muscles, wrist, hip

joint, knee, ankle •Manual Muscle Test for affected side upper &

lower limb(Grade0-5) •Modified Bethel Index, MBI

Neglect of one side of the body

•Language and communication impairment

•Deep brain stimulation, DBS

·Language and communication impairment

•Autonomic nervous system dysfunction





(participants)

Conclusion

- By developing a rehabilitation sports assessment tool for d octors, it is possible to make evidence-based decisions about who can safely participate in RS and to what extent.
- Ultimately, it is possible to improve the quality of life of disabled people by providing an appropriate and effective RS program.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by Translational R&D Program on Smart Rehabilitation Exercise, Republic of Korea (TRSRE-IN13)